Mental Health and Education:

A Play in Three Acts

by Eli M. Bower

WHAT interaction and trans- 
action of the professional 
fields of education 
and mental health have taken place 
in the 20th century? Perhaps this can 
best be illustrated and hopefully under- 
stood through a three act play about a 
group of men who are fishing on the 
banks of a swiftly flowing stream.

In Act I of this apocryphal drama (a 
fisherman's tale, if there ever was one), 
a host of drowning children suddenly 
appear in mid river, desperately calling 
for help as they are carried rapidly 
downstream. The fishermen jump in and 
swim about wildly trying to save as 
many children as they can but succeed 
in intercepting only one or two who are 
about to go down for the third time. In 
Act II the fishermen decide to find 
places in the river where they can stand 
and where the current is less swift so 
they can pull out and save a greater 
number of children. As Act III opens, 
one fisherman is seen departing up-
stream. When asked by his companions 
where he is going, he replies, "I'm going 
upstream to find out who's throwing 
those kids in."

A Beginning

Historically, Act I of the education-
mental health drama opened at the same 
time that Clifford Beers was getting the 
first State Mental Health Association 
started in Connecticut. In Chicago of 
that year, 1908, Dr. William Healy held 
the first of a series of meetings to plan 
a child guidance clinic for juvenile of-
fenders. Interestingly, also in the same 
year, Dr. Henry Goddard at the Vine-
land School in New Jersey began to use 
a new standardized scale devised by 
Drs. Binet and Simon to appraise the 
"mental age" of children. In this act or 
era of the child guidance clinic move-
ment, the focus of activity was essen-
tially one of doing something about 
juvenile delinquency. The curtain on Act 
I is still up as clinics, family service 
agencies, welfare departments and other 
community agencies attempt to increase
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their "fishing out" batting average with
individuals and families moving rapidly
downstream.

Act II opens sometime in the 1920's.
With the help of the Commonwealth
Fund and the National Committee for
Mental Hygiene (now the National As-
sociation for Mental Health) a five year
program for the "prevention of juvenile
delinquency" had been launched. In part,
the program grew out of the realization
by mental health personnel in clinics,
schools and family service agencies that
they needed to redesign their strategy
of help if they were to have any signifi-
cant impact on the problem of "drown-
ing" children. Specifically, the fisher-
men discovered that professionally they
could do little without enlisting the ac-
tive help of the spectators lining the
banks. They also found, to their dismay,
that many children and families about
to go down for the third time had been
traveling downstream for some time.

Finding a place up-river where chil-
dren could be rescued earlier and more
effectively seemed to be a reasonable
first step to mental health personnel and
educators. This objective led to what
was probably the first large-scale re-
search study to find out if such an idea
could indeed be translated into an effec-
tive preventive effort. One such pro-
gram—and perhaps the most notable—
was developed and tried out in the
Cambridge-Somerville area of Massa-
chusetts (1). In this study, 650 predelin-
quent children, ages 9-11, were iden-
tified by the school; half were given
special help and guidance over a period
of 8 years (1938-1945). Early evaluations
of the effectiveness of this program in re-
ducing delinquency were less than enthu-
siastic at first (2), but later follow-up
studies seem to be more encouraging (3).

Action in this act of the drama is still
going on. Effectiveness of attempts to
fish children out of the stream early
rests on the ability of fishermen to dis-
cover platforms from which one can de-
velop significant leverage for rescue.
Such platforms would need to be placed
in strategic places along the way so that
children could be fished out no matter
at what point of the river they fell in.

In Midstream

As readers or spectators of, or as par-
ticipants in this drama it would be diffi-
cult to ascertain the exact place in the
play at which we have entered. It ap-
ppears as if the curtain has gone up on
Act III, yet Acts I and II are still being
strongly played on different parts of the
stage. To present this play in modern
dress all three acts would need to be
given simultaneously with our hero in
Act III probably just beginning to turn
his head upstream.

One could, if one wished, call the
play Angling Along Preventive Streams
with Act I, Tertiary Prevention, Act II,
Secondary Prevention and Act III, Pri-
mary Prevention. One might bemoan the
fact that what comes first should be last;
but in any drama in which all scenes
are played at once, one can become in-
volved in any of the three acts.

The plot of the whole play is simple
and uncomplicated—how do we keep
children from succumbing to the de-
structive currents in the stream of life?
Spectators have noted that not all chil-
dren who fall into such currents are
hopelessly unable to cope with the pres-
sure. Some seem able to swim and man-
age to emerge downstream often better
able to deal with other life crises. Since
there is little one can do about making
swiftly flowing streams disappear (as a
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matter of fact, they may be necessary for irrigation and transportation), perhaps one may need to help children cope more effectively with slippery banks, rapid flow of events and the problem of keeping one's head above water.

In the early relationship between the mental health professions (psychiatry, psychology, social work, and psychiatric nursing) and educators, the former have often found themselves in stations far downstream while the educators have generally been plying their jobs farther upstream. While there have been some signals between the two groups along the stream, the coding and communication have often been muddled. Being closer to the beginning of things, educators had many more children to contend with; on the other hand, the mental health fishermen were able to study in depth many of those rescued and to send signals upstream which might have preventive possibilities.

Early attempts at collaboration between the two groups bogged down primarily because each circumscribed its tasks, purposes and general plan of operation in different territorial waters. It has become clear to both groups (at least to some in each group) that one can only help the other if one is willing to work within the general purposes and goals of the other.

For example, educators define their task as providing an optimal amount of cognitive and affective nutrients to all children. In the past, members of the mental health group may have seen their responsibility in this endeavor as rescuing the failures, the downstream drowners as it were. They would now, however, like to bring the full weight of their experience and professional know-how into the territory of the teacher, school administrator, and other school workers to help them carry out their educational tasks more effectively for more children.

It has become clear that the search upstream must uncover ways of helping all children to swim scholastically. A child who fails in learning makes an outstanding candidate for a failure in living. Research studies by Ginzberg (4) and O'Neal and Robbins (5), to name only two from widely separate fields, have confirmed the relationship and to some extent the cause and effect transaction of school learning and mental health.

Ginzberg studied men rejected or separated from the armed forces and their emotional and educational background. He found that although a high level of educational attainment was no safeguard against emotional disorders, the lower the educational level of a region, the higher was its incidence of men rejected because of emotional disorders.

O'Neal and Robbins investigated a group of adults who were referred to a child guidance clinic 30 years ago. To make sense out of their findings they also selected a control group of adults who as children lived in the same neighborhood as the referred children and who were similar in age, sex, IQ, and race. It was intended to use the control group for comparison purposes only. The investigators, however, were struck by the fact that the simple criteria used to select the control group—no excessive absences, no full grades repeated, no disciplinary action recorded and an IQ of 80 or better—had yielded a strikingly healthy group. The success of the control group as adult citizens was particularly notable in view of the fact that the group was drawn largely from lower socioeconomic families and that a history of broken homes was found in one-third of the cases.

(Continued on page 63)
tive mental health related to school activities will allow an on-going study of the educational progress of children and youth. The national scope of the program will give it an opportunity to affect practice throughout the country. Further, the multidisciplinary character of the project will help in solution of major problems of coordination of services, making for readier focus on the instructional program.
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(Continued from page 10)

Any society that insists and compels all its children to go to school must also insist that the experience be profitable and rewarding to all. The failure of a child to become an effectively functioning human being cannot be prevented post facto. The coming era of collaboration between mental health and education will be one of investigating together the nature of cognitive-affective experiences so that more effective growing and learning processes can be instituted in schools to increase the emotional robustness and cognitive effectiveness of coming generations. There will also continue to be collaborative efforts in discovering better ways of educating children with experiential, sensory, intellectual and emotional limitations as well as specific studies of the variety of educational processes needed to produce wise, responsible and free human beings.

It is doubtful that the curtain can be drawn on this drama in the near future. One is hopeful that one or two fishermen will find their way upstream and can make the most of an old Taoist inscription which reads: "He who would cleanse the current of a stream begins by clearing out its source. And he who would straighten the end of a process must commence by making its beginning correct."
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