Comment on April Issue

Dear Editor:

As a volunteer worker in our public schools, I was most interested in your April issue, "What Schools Can and Cannot Learn from Business and Industry." Much of my 36 years with a major utility has been concerned with employee training and management development. In recent years I have worked in the schools an average of at least eight hours per week doing both motivational work with students and management training with administrators. I feel I have a fair understanding of school problems and what is being done and not being done to correct them.

The articles in the April issue ran the full gamut on business involvement in the schools, some for and some against such involvement. This is fine for it lets readers pick and choose what they like. I really was disturbed, however, when you put the label of "Editorial" on the most anti-business article of all. If this "Editorial" represents the views of top educators, our schools are in more trouble than I had thought.

Dr. Haubrich characterizes current business practices as updated time and motion studies. Nothing could be further from the actual situation. Most people in business have realized that present day employees must be motivated, not driven, to produce.

Management By Objectives (MBO) won't be a panacea for schools any more than it has been for industry. MBO is successful when the objectives are used by dedicated administrators as a means of getting the necessary support of top management and the public. MBO is not usually successful in either setting when it is used as a whip by top management to force change.

I would be among the last to belittle "aesthetic development, artistic appreciation, and critical thinking" as worthwhile school goals. I maintain, however, that there is little chance for progress in these areas unless the pupil has been taught to read, write, and calculate.

Industry is spending millions of dollars in remedial work that should be unnecessary if schools could do a better job on the basics. Dr. Haubrich must realize that most of the present students will have to be prepared to eventually find work in industry.

With taxes fast approaching the point of diminishing returns, there may be fewer dollars available to support some of our present institutions—including our schools. If the schools can't convince the taxpayers that they are worthy of continuing support, it won't be forthcoming.

Dr. Haubrich appears not to want any objective means by which one would be able to measure the success or failure of a school or school system. Schools had better find a way to convince the voters who provide the funds that some progress is being made toward equipping our students for a productive life. Objective measurements may help schools prove their case to the public.

For the past year I have been working with 12 principals in an effort to effect some improvements in the schools they serve. We have tackled such thorny issues as declining enrollment and improving objective test scores. By using management techniques as tools rather than as masters we have found the process to be exciting and, at times, even fun! Fun is a scarce
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ingredient in most schools today and it needn’t be.

I hope your readers won’t write off the expanded use of business techniques in school administration. There is room for practical MBO, behavior modification, and labor negotiations in the largest business of them all—our schools.

Where did most of the modern business theory and practices come from anyway? Mostly from educators. There used to be some resentment on this score in the business community. I hope school people will show better judgment than to refuse those of us from industry who are willing to spend effort, time, and money for our common goal—improved schools.

G. H. MELVIN
General Plant Supervisor—Training,
South Central Bell, New Orleans

ASCAD Tape Cassettes Order Form

Addresses by leaders in education, recorded live as they speak out to educators on current school concerns. Convenient to use in any audio cassette playback—at home, at school, en route.

QUANTITY

1. WILLIAM M. ALEXANDER, “Curriculum Planning As It Should Be.” 1971. 62 min. $6.00 (612-20101)
2. JAMES A. BANKS, “Ethnic Studies as a Process of Curriculum Reform.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20141)
3. JULIAN BOND, “The Crisis of Change: Trauma or Opportunity.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20104)
5. JEROME S. BRUNER, “The Process of Education Reconsidered.” 1971. 50 min. $5.00 (612-20102)
6. PRICE M. COMBS, “Dare To Care/Dare To Act.” 1971. 46 min. $5.00 (612-20103)
7. ARTHUR W. COMBS, “Accountability for Humanism.” 1973. 50 min. $6.00 (612-20104)
8. ARTHUR W. COMBS, “The Humanistic Imperative in an Accelerated School.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20140)
10. FENWICK W. ENGLISH, “Needs Assessment and Goal Setting Skills for Curriculum Workers.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20138)
11. NOLAN ESTES, “Parent/School Relations and Community Involvement.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20127)
15. RICHARD L. FOSTER, “Educational Supervision: Dead or Alive?” 1969. 38 min. $5.00 (612-20102)
16. ALEXANDER FRAZIER, “Open Schools in Perspective.” 1973. 54 min. $6.00 (612-20108)
17. ALEXANDER FRAZIER and Doctoral Students, “Questions About Open Schools.” 1972. 60 min. $5.00 (612-20109)
19. JACK R. FRYMIER, “A Curriculum Manifesto.” 1972. 60 min. $4.00 (612-20111)
20. CHARLES M. GALLOWAY, “Personalized Teaching for Individualized Learning.” 1973. 30 min. $6.00 (612-20112)
22. JOHN I. GOOLDAD, “Schools Can Make a Difference: Partnerships, Programs, Practices.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20143)
23. ROBERT HEILBRONNER, “Education for Alternative Lifestyles.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20132)
24. CLAIRE HENRY, “Eliminating Barriers to Reading Success.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20121)
25. RUTH LOVE HOLLOWAY, “Reading and the Individual.” 1973. 45 min. $6.00 (612-20113)
26. LAWRENCE KOHLBERG, “Education for a Society in Motion.” 1973. $6.00 (612-20142)
27. LEON LESSINGER, “Outcomes of the Accountability Movement.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20120)

Dear ASCD:

I would like to purchase the following cassettes:
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__ 1. WILLIAM M. ALEXANDER, “Curriculum Planning As It Should Be.” 1971. 62 min. $6.00 (612-20101)
__ 2. JAMES A. BANKS, “Ethnic Studies as a Process of Curriculum Reform.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20141)
__ 3. JULIAN BOND, “The Crisis of Change: Trauma or Opportunity.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20104)
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___ 19. JACK R. FRYMIER, “A Curriculum Manifesto.” 1972. 60 min. $4.00 (612-20111)
___ 20. CHARLES M. GALLOWAY, “Personalized Teaching for Individualized Learning.” 1973. 30 min. $6.00 (612-20112)
___ 22. JOHN I. GOOLDAD, “Schools Can Make a Difference: Partnerships, Programs, Practices.” 1975. $6.00 (612-20143)
___ 23. ROBERT HEILBRONNER, “Education for Alternative Lifestyles.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20132)
___ 24. CLAIRE HENRY, “Eliminating Barriers to Reading Success.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20121)
___ 25. RUTH LOVE HOLLOWAY, “Reading and the Individual.” 1973. 45 min. $6.00 (612-20113)
___ 26. LAWRENCE KOHLBERG, “Education for a Society in Motion.” 1973. $6.00 (612-20142)
___ 27. LEON LESSINGER, “Outcomes of the Accountability Movement.” 1974. $6.00 (612-20120)

I understand that each tape cassette order must be accompanied by a money order payable to ASCD in the amount of $6.00. Please send me the tapes indicated. Enclosed is my check or money order payable to ASCD in the amount of $.

Name ____________________________
Street ____________________________
City ____________________________ State ______ Zip ________

Please send me the tapes indicated. Enclosed is my check or money order payable to ASCD in the amount of $.

Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development
1791 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20006

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
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