A Place for Basal Readers Under the Whole Language Umbrella

There is a growing belief among some educators that within the next decade whole language programs will replace basal readers. They argue that basal readers and whole language programs are incompatible and cannot coexist. This debate is, first of all, a matter of two ships passing in the night; that is, two different topics are being compared. "Whole language" is a philosophy that suggests that a variety of real language experiences and materials should form the basis of instruction. Basal readers, on the other hand, are a set of instructional materials that have been developed to exemplify an eclectic middle-of-the-road philosophy.

The concerns of whole language proponents are, however, not trivial. There are aspects of basal readers that are incompatible with the whole language approach. For example, the "separate skills instruction" of basal readers, resulting in workbook pages, ditto masters, and mastery tests, suggests erroneously that language development can be reduced to learning discrete skills. Another major issue is the lack of freedom of choice that is dictated by the lockstep use of the stories in basals. Often this linear march by teacher and students through the basal lessons and activities is mandated by administrators who feel that if certain pages or lessons are skipped, the students will fail to learn essential skills. Indeed, the major problem with basal readers is this kind of lockstep misuse.

Although these aspects of basal readers are incompatible with the whole language philosophy, there are issues on which they coincide. For example, basal readers include excellent stories written by the nation's best children's authors. These stories are used as springboards to a variety of wonderful children's books. Moreover, most basal readers encourage teachers to choose from the stories and activities included rather than using all of them. In addition, writing activities and a wide range of creative applications are now standard fare in most basals—and all of these are also encouraged under the whole language umbrella.

Thus, basal reader authors and publishers are not out of step with the whole language philosophy. Nevertheless, basal readers are constantly changing, and they will continue to do so. The McGuffey readers of the 1800s are nothing like the Dick and Jane readers of the 1950s, which are distinctly different from today's basals, and they are often indistinguishable from literature anthologies. In addition, basals have to appeal to a broad audience of educators and students, and they can't espouse one philosophy to the complete exclusion of others.

At their best, basals allow for teacher choice. They provide ample activities and materials so that a teacher can use the materials within the philosophy that guides his or her instruction. If they did not now exist, basals in some form would almost certainly be invented. They would grow out of teachers' needs for classroom or group sets of stories for children to share, teaching suggestions that promote and apply what has been read, and lists of library books that allow students to pursue ideas of interest. All of these needs are now met in good basal programs—and in whole language classrooms.

As teachers and administrators, we need to work together so that instructional programs are understood as being more than a particular set of materials. And those who prepare teachers must present more thorough treatments of how to use basals to complement rather than contradict program and teacher philosophy. Basals may then become what they were designed to be, not total programs but useful tools for helping teachers carry out effective reading instruction. Most important, if we are to improve instruction, we must always concentrate on what the teacher does. It is the teacher who must encourage, guide, and challenge students' ideas—and who must use a variety of materials so that students become active learners.
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