ministrators. We cannot look to policies, regulations, and slogans to do the job.□

References

Au, K. (1980). "Participation Structures in a Reading Lesson with Hawaiian Children: Analysis of a Culturally Appropriate Instructional Event." Anthropology and Education Quarterly 11: 91-115.

Banks, J. (1987). "Ethnicity, Class, and Cognitive Styles: Research and Teaching Implications." Paper presented at American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C.

Brophy, J., and T. Good. (1986). "Teacher Behavior and Student Achievement." In Handbook of Research on Teaching, edited by Merlin Wittrock. New York: Macmillan.

Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching (1988) *The Imperiled Generation*. New York: Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching. Cohen, E. (1986). Designing Groupwork New York: Teachers College Press.

Comer, J. (1980). School Power. New York: Free Press.

Committee For Economic Development. (1987). Children in Need: Investment Strategies for the Educationally Disadvantaged. New York: Committee for Economic Development.

Corcoran, T., L. Walker, and L. White. (1988). Working in Urban Schools. Washington, D.C.: Institute for Educational Leadership.

Ford Foundation. (1987). The Forgotten
Half: Non-College Youth in America.
New York: Ford Foundation.

Heath, S. B. (1983). Ways With Words. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Kennedy, M., R. Jung, and M. Orland. (1986). Poverty, Achievement and the Distribution of Compensatory Education Services. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education. Leinhardt, G., and W. Bickel. (1987). "Instruction's the Thing Wherein to Catch the Mind That Falls Behind." *Educational Psychologist* 22: 177-207.

Lotto, L. S. (1982). "The Holding Power of Vocational Curricula: Characteristics of Effective Dropout Prevention Programs." Journal of Vocational Education Research 7, 4: 39-49.

Purkey, S., and M. S. Smith. (1983). "Effective Schools: A Review." *Elementary School Journal* 40: 427-452.

Slavin, R. (1983). Cooperative Learning. New York: Longman.

Wehlage, G. (1983). Effective Programs for the Marginal High School Student. Bloomington, Ind. Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.

Larry Cuban is Professor, School of Education, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

- JOSEPH MURPHY

Is There Equity in Educational Reform?

Early evidence indicates that, contrary to what many educators fear, current efforts to raise standards are having a positive effect on at-risk students.

A number of thoughtful scholars believe that the reform movement of the 1980s has ignored the needs of minority and disadvantaged students. They fear it will, therefore, exacerbate differences between advantaged and disadvantaged students; legitimize school structures and practices that harm low-functioning students, such as tracking; and compel at-risk students to drop out of school at accelerating rates.

I contend, on the contrary, that the reforms may indeed have a positive influence in promoting educational equity. First, the integrated approach to serving low-SES students that is

embedded in current reform initiatives augurs well for increased equity. Trying to improve equity by superimposing discrete programs onto schools has not been a particularly successful strategy in the past. Current reform initiatives—which are designed for all students, align needed special services with core curriculum and instructional activities, and aim to create schools that function as "organic wholes"—offer more promise than did our previous efforts to promote equity.

Second, the basic themes of the current movement also bode well for improved equity. One such theme, "the emergence of concerns for edu-

cational productivity" outcome focus] (Boyd and Hartman in press), has for the first time prevented the inequitable achievement gains of atrisk students from being explained away. This concern for productivity offers as much promise as anything to date to ensure continued efforts to increase equity. Another theme, "tightening organizational linkages," (e.g., defining goals, raising standards, and so forth) emphasizes what we have known for some time: loosely coupled educational systems put at-risk children at a disadvantage. As linkages are tightened, it becomes increasingly difficult to hide or to justify the poor performance of disadvantaged students.

However limited the evidence is at this time, recent reports suggest that the important reform efforts are promoting equity in terms of both student access to favorable conditions of learning and student outcomes.

Dropouts. The evidence to date shows that, while the overall holding power of schools continues to be unacceptably low, the reform movement has had little impact one way or the other either on the overall dropout rate or on reducing or enhancing the discrepancy between white and minority dropouts (BEPC 1988, California State Department of Education 1986, Education Week 1988a, Hawley in press; Odden and Marsh 1988).

Course enrollments. The benefits of increased standards in academic requirements have gone disproportionately to minorities and disadvantaged students, especially those who populate low-ability and low-track classes (Education Week 1988b, Plank 1987, Bartell and Noble 1986). For example, Grossman and her colleagues (1985) found that academically rigorous courses were increased more at low-SES schools than at high-SES ones.

Student outcomes. In terms of equity and student achievement outcomes, preliminary reports indicate that the current reform initiatives are helping at-risk students to improve their performance (Peterson 1988) and are increasing the achievement of

The integrated approach to serving low-SES students that is embedded in current reform initiatives augurs well for increased equity.

As organizational linkages are tightened, it becomes increasingly difficult to hide or to justify the poor performance of disadvantaged students.

minority students at a faster rate than for white students (BEPC 1988, Guthrie and Kirst 1988). For example, the most recent (1986) National Assessment of Educational Progress mathematics data reveal that not only have black and Hispanic students made steady gains in achievement at all grade levels, but that their progress has been greater and more consistent than the gains of white students (Education Week 1988b, p. 29).

The belief that reform in the 1980s has neglected equity issues in its drive for excellence represents a profound misunderstanding of the effects of major elements of the reform. Two facts are emerging about these effects. First, the requirements of minority and special-needs students have not been overlooked in efforts to reform schooling (Guthrie and Kirst 1988, p. 140). What's more important and hopeful, the positive effects of reform have actually been flowing disproportionately to disadvantaged students.□

References

Bartell, T., and J. Noble. (April 1986). "Changes in Course Selection by High School Students: The Impact of National Education Reform." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.

Boyd, W. L., and W. T. Harman. (In press). "The Politics of Educational Productivity." In Distributing Educational Resources Within Nations, States, School Districts, and Schools. Edited by D. Monk and J. Underwood. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballinger.

Business-Education Partnership Committee. (1988). An Evaluation of the Educational Progress from South Carolina's Educational Improvement Efforts. The Fourth Annual Report on the South Carolina Education Improvement Act. Columbia, S.C.: BEPC.

California State Department of Education. (1986). California Drop-Outs: A Status Report. Sacramento: California State Department of Education.

Education Week. (June 8, 1988a). p. 12. Education Week. (June 15, 1988b). p. 29. Grossman, P., M. W. Kirst, W. Negash, and J. Schmidt-Posner. (July 1985). Curricular Change in California Comprehensive High Schools 1982-83 to 1984-85. Stanford, Calif: Policy Analysis for California

Education.
Guthrie, J. W., and M. W. Kirst. (March 1988). Conditions of Education in California 1988. Policy Paper No. 88-3-2.
Berkeley, Calif.: Policy Analysis for California Education.

Hawley, W. D. (In press). "Missing Pieces of the Educational Reform Agenda: Or, Why the First and Second Waves May Miss the Boat." Educational Administration Quarterly.

Odden, A., and D. Marsh. (April 1988). "How Comprehensive Reform Legislation Can Improve Secondary Schools." Phi Delta Kappan 69, 8: 593–598.

Peterson, T. (April 1988). "Building, Passing, Implementing, and Assessing Educational Reform in South Carolina." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans.

Plank, D. N. (June 1987). "Why School Reform Doesn't Change Schools: Political and Organizational Perspectives." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C.

Author's note: This article is a short version of a paper entitled "Educational Reform and Equity: A Reexamination of Prevailing Thought," to be presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, April 1989.

Joseph Murphy is Professor and Chair, Department of Educational Leadership, Vanderbilt University, George Peabody College for Teachers, Nashville, TN 37203. Copyright © 1989 by the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. All rights reserved.