

FIGURE S1.2 → Continued

Conversation Skill	3: <i>I am practicing all of the skills.</i>	2: <i>I am getting better at some of the skills.</i>	1. <i>I need to practice the skills.</i>
Stay on topic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I suggested a logical theme. • I stayed on topic the whole conversation. • I listened and built on the author's ideas and others' comments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I stayed on topic. • I tried building on others' comments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I came up with ideas that were not in the text. • I had a hard time staying on topic. • I have not tried building on others' comments yet.
Support ideas by explaining and showing examples from the text	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I prompted others to explain or give examples. • I gave explanations for my thinking and showed examples and details from the text. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I gave explanations for my thinking. • I showed one example from the text. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I had a difficult time explaining my thinking.
Make connections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I could explain how this text connects to things in my life. • I could talk about what I learned from this text. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I could explain how this text connects to things in my life. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I could not explain how this text connects to things in my life.
Use appropriate conversation behaviors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I actively listened to others. • I took turns speaking. • I paraphrased what others said. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I took turns speaking. • I listened to others. • I don't know how to paraphrase yet. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think I talked too much. • I don't know how to paraphrase yet.

References

- Alexander, P. A., Graham, S., & Harris, K. R. (1998). A perspective on strategy research: Progress and prospects. *Educational Psychology Review, 10*(2), 129–154.
- Alvermann, D. E. (1991). The discussion web: A graphic aid for learning across the curriculum. *The Reading Teacher, 45*(2), 92–99.
- Alvermann, D. E. (2002). Effective literacy instruction for adolescents. *Journal of Literacy Research, 34*(2), 189–208.
- Alvermann, D. E., Dillon, D. R., & O'Brien, D. G. (1988). *Using discussion to promote reading comprehension*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Anderson, T. H., & Armbruster, B. B. (1984). Content area textbooks. In R. C. Anderson, J. Osborn, & R. J. Tierney (Eds.), *Learning to read in American schools: Basal readers and content texts* (pp. 193–226). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, R. C., Chinn, C., Waggoner, M., & Nguyen, K. (1998). Intellectually stimulating story discussions. In J. Osborn & F. Lehr (Eds.), *Literacy for all: Issues in teaching and learning* (pp. 170–186). New York: Guilford Press.
- Anthony, H. M., & Raphael, T. E. (1989). Using questioning strategies to promote students' active comprehension of content area material. In D. Lapp, J. Flood, & N. Farnan (Eds.), *Content area reading and learning: Instructional strategies* (pp. 307–322). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Armbruster, B. B., & Nagy, W. E. (1992). Vocabulary in content area lessons. *The Reading Teacher, 45*(7), 550–551.
- Baldwin, R. S., Ford, J. C., & Readance, J. E. (1981). Teaching word connotations: An alternative strategy. *Reading World, 21*, 103–108.
- Banks, J. A. (2001). A curriculum for empowerment, action, and change. In C. E. Sleeter (Ed.), *Empowerment through multicultural education* (pp. 125–142). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Barker, J. (2010). Improving instructional practices for English-language learners. *Changing Schools, 61*, 2.
- Barton, M. L. (1997). Addressing the literacy crisis: Teaching reading in the content areas. *NASSP Bulletin, 81*(587), 22–30.
- Bazerman, C. (2009). Genre and cognitive development: Beyond writing to learn. In C. Bazerman, A. Bonini, & D. Figueiredo (Eds.), *Genre in a changing world* (pp. 279–294). Ft. Collins, CO: The WAC Clearinghouse.
- Beck, I. L., & McKeown, M. G. (1981). Developing questions that promote comprehension: The story map. *Language Arts, 58*(8), 913–918.
- Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2002). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Biancarosa, C., & Snow, C. E. (2006). *Reading next—A vision for action and research in middle and high school literacy: A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Billmeyer, R., & Barton, M. L. (1998). *Teaching reading in the content areas: If not me, then who?* (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Blachowicz, C. & Fisher, P. (2000). Vocabulary instruction. In M. L. Kamill, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research, vol. 3* (pp. 503–523). Mahwah, NJ: Laurence Erlbaum Associates.

- Block, C. C., & Parris, S. R. (Eds.). (2008). *Comprehension instruction: Research-based best practices. solving problems in the teaching of literacy* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Bolton, M. M. (2010). Pulling together: Brockton High marks 40 years of success. *The Boston Globe*. Retrieved from http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2010/11/21/brockton_high_school_celebrates_40th_anniversary
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2004). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Bransford, J. D., Sherwood, R., Vye, N., & Rieser, J. (1986). Teaching thinking and problem solving. *American Psychologist*, 41, 1078–1089.
- Braselton, S., & Decker, B. (1994). Using graphic organizers to improve the reading of mathematics. *The Reading Teacher*, 48(3), 276–281.
- Brooks, D. (2011). *The social animal: The hidden sources of love, character, and achievement*. New York: Random House.
- Brophy, J. (1992) Probing the subtleties of subject-matter teaching. *Educational Leadership*, 49(7), 4–8.
- Broughton, S. H., & Sinatra, G. M. (2010). In M. G. McKeown & L. Kucan (Eds.), *Bringing reading research to life* (pp. 232–256). New York: Guilford Press.
- Brown, A. L., Day, J. D., & Jones, R. (1983). The development of plans for summarizing texts. *Child Development*, 54, 968–979.
- Brozo W. G., & Fisher, D. F. (2010). Literacy starts with the teachers. *Educational Leadership*, 67(6), 74–77.
- Buehl, D. (1995). *Classroom strategies for interactive learning* (Monograph of the Wisconsin State Reading Association). Schofield: Wisconsin State Reading Association.
- Buehl, D. (2001). *Classroom strategies for interactive learning* (2nd ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Buerger, J. R. (1997). *A study of the effect of exploratory writing activities on student success in mathematical problem solving* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Columbia University, New York.
- Calderón, M. (2007). *Teaching reading to English language learners, grades 6–12: A framework for improving achievement in the content areas*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Carr, N. (2010). *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
- Caverly, D. C., Mandeville, T. F., & Nicholson, S. A. (1995). PLAN: A study-reading strategy for informational text. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 39(3), 190–199.
- Center for Development and Learning (1997). *Learner-centered psychological principles: A framework for school reform*. Retrieved from http://www.cdl.org/resource-library/articles/learner_centered.php
- Center on Education Policy. (2011). *State test score trends through 2008–2009, Part 5: Progress lags in high school, especially for advanced achievers*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Collins, N. D. (1997). *Motivating low performing adolescent readers*. ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading, English, and Communication, Digest #112. Retrieved from http://www.kidsource.com/kidsource/content2/low_performing_readers.html
- Commission on Reading of the National Council of Teachers of English. (n.d.) *On reading, learning to read, and effective reading instruction: An overview of what we know and how we know it*. Retrieved from <http://www.ncte.org/positions/statements/onreading>
- Common Core State Standards Initiative. (2010). *Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts & Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects*. Washington, DC: National Governors Association Center for Best Practices and the Council of Chief State School Officers.
- Common Core State Standards Initiative. (2010). *Common Core State Standards for Mathematics*. Washington, DC: National Governors Association Center for Best Practices and the Council of Chief State School Officers.
- Costa, A. L. (1991). Toward a model of human intellectual functioning. In A. Costa (Ed.), *Developing minds: A resource book for teaching thinking*. (Rev. ed.) (pp. 62–65). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Costa, A. L., & Garmston, R. J. (1994). *Cognitive coaching: A foundation for renaissance schools*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon.
- Cullinan, B. (1987). *Children's literature in the reading program*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Daggett, W. R. (2003). *Achieving reading proficiency for all*. Rexford, NY: International Center for Leadership in Education. Retrieved from <http://www.leadered.com/pdf/Reading%20White%20Paper.pdf>
- Daniels, H., & Zemelman, S. (2004). *Subjects matter: Every teacher's guide to content-area reading*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Davey, B. (1983). Think aloud: Modeling the cognitive processes of reading comprehension. *Journal of Reading*, 27(1), 44–47.

- Davis, S. J., & Gerber, R. (1994). Open to suggestion: Content area strategies in secondary mathematics classrooms. *Journal of Reading*, 38(1), 55–57.
- Dean, C. & Parsley, D. (2008). *Chapter protocol. Success in sight: Module 3.2*. Denver, CO: McREL.
- Dean, C. B., Doty, J. K., & Quackenboss, S. A. (2005). *Research into practice series: Classroom instruction that works*. Aurora, CO: McREL.
- Dean, C. B., Hubbell, E. R., Pitler, H., & Stone, B. (2012). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement* (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Dehaene, S. (2009). *Reading in the brain: The science and evolution of a human invention*. New York: Viking.
- Denti L., & Guerin, G. (2008). *Effective practice for adolescents with reading and literacy challenges*. New York: Routledge.
- Dole, J. A., Valencia, S. W., Greer, E. A., & Wardrop, J. L. (1991). Effects of two types of prereading instruction on the comprehension of narrative and expository text. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 26(2), 142–159.
- Donahue, P. L., Voekl, K. E., Campbell, J. R., & Mazzeo, J. (1998). *NAEP 1998 reading report card for the nation and the states*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.
- Doty, J. K., Cameron, G. N., & Barton, M. L. (2003). *Teaching reading in social studies: A supplement to teaching reading in the content areas teacher's manual* (2nd ed.). Aurora, CO: McREL.
- Downing, J. P. (1997). *Creative teaching: Ideas to boost student interest*. Englewood, CO: Teacher Ideas Press.
- Duke, N., & Pearson, D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A. E. Farstrup & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (3rd ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Dweck, C. S. (2010). Even geniuses work. *Educational Leadership* 68(1), 16–20.
- Fay, L. (1965). Reading study skills: Math and science. In J. A. Figural (Ed.), *Reading and inquiry* (pp. 93–94). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Ferguson, R. F., Hackman, S., Hanna, R. & Ballantine, A. (2010). *How high schools become exemplary: Ways that leadership raises achievement and narrows gaps by improving instruction in 15 public high schools. Report on the 2009 Annual Conference of the Achievement Gap Initiative at Harvard University*. Retrieved from <http://www.agi.harvard.edu/events/2009Conference/2009AGIConferenceReport6-30-2010web.pdf>
- Fernando, A. (2011, January–February). Content snacking—and what you can do about it. *Communication World*, 8–10.
- Ficca, T. K. (1997). *Reading college textbooks for meaning and structure* (2nd ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Frager, A.M. (1993). Affective dimensions of content area reading. *Journal of Reading*, 36(8), 616–622.
- Freyer, D. A., Frederick, W. C., & Klausmeier, H. J. (1969). *A schema for testing the level of concept mastery* (Technical Report No. 16). Madison: University of Wisconsin, Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning.
- Fulwiler, T. (1987). *Teaching with writing*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook.
- Gavelek, J. R. & Raphael, T. E. (1985). Metacognition, instruction, and the role of questioning activities. In D. L. Forrest-Pressley, G. E. MacKinnon, and T. G. Waller (Eds.), *Metacognition, cognition, and human performance*, Vol. 2. (pp. 103–106). New York: Academic Press.
- Gay, G. (2000). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, & practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Gewertz, C. (2011, March 14). Teachers tackle text complexity. *Education Week*, 30(24), 1, 12–13.
- Giancarlo, C. A., Blohm, S. W. & Urdan, T. (2004). Assessing secondary students' disposition toward critical thinking: Development of the California Measure of Mental Motivation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 64(2), 347–364.
- Goldenberg, C. (2008). *Teaching English language learners what the research does—and does not—say*. Retrieved from <http://www.aft.org/pdfs/americaneducator/summer2008/goldenberg.pdf>
- Goodwin, B. (2010). *Changing the odds for student success: What matters most*. Denver, CO: McREL.
- Graesser, A., Ozuru, Y., & Sullins, J. (2010). What is a good question? In M. G. McKeown & L. Kucan (Eds.), *Bringing reading research to life* (pp. 112–141). New York: Guilford Press.
- Graham, S., & Hebert, M. A. (2010). *Writing to read: Evidence for how writing can improve reading. A Carnegie Corporation Time to Act Report*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Greenleaf, C., Schoenbach, R., Cziko, C., & Mueller, F. (2001). Apprenticing adolescent readers to academic literacy. *Harvard Educational Review* 71(1), 79–129.
- Guthrie, J. T., & Humenick, N. M. (2004). Motivating students to read: Evidence for classroom practices that increase reading motivation and achievement. In P. McCardle & V. Chhabra (Eds.), *The voice of evidence in reading research* (pp. 329–354). Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing.

- Harmon, J. M., & Hedrick, W. B. (2000). Teaching ideas: Zooming in and zooming out: Enhancing vocabulary and conceptual learning in social studies. *The Reading Teacher*, 54(2), 155–159.
- Harmon, J. M., Hedrick, W. B. & Wood, K. D. (2006). Research on vocabulary instruction in the content areas: Implications for struggling readers. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 21(3), 261–280.
- Harvey, S., & Daniels, H. (2009). *Comprehension and collaboration: Inquiry circles in action*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Harvey, S., & Goudvis, A. (2000). *Strategies that work: Teaching comprehension to enhance understanding*. York, ME: Stenhouse.
- Harvey, S., & Goudvis, A. (2007). *Strategies that work: Teaching comprehension for understanding and engagement* (2nd ed.). Portland, ME: Stenhouse.
- Heller, R. (n.d.). *All about adolescent literacy*. Retrieved from http://www.adlit.org/adlit_essentials/priorities
- Hill, J. D., & Björk, C. L. (2008). *Classroom instruction that works with English language learners: Facilitator's Guide*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Hill, J., & Flynn, K. (2006). *Classroom instruction that works with English language learners*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Jacobs, V. (2008). Adolescent literacy: Putting the crisis in context. *Harvard Educational Review*, 78(1), 7–39.
- Johnson, D. D., & Pearson, P. D. (1984). *Teaching reading vocabulary* (2nd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Jones, B. F., Palincsar, A. S., Ogle, D. S., & Carr, E. G. (1987). *Strategic teaching and learning: Cognitive instruction in the content areas*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Jones, R. C. (2001). *Story mapping history frame*. Retrieved from <http://www.readingquest.org>
- Jordan, M., Jensen, R., & Greenleaf, C. (2001). "Amidst familial gatherings": Reading apprenticeship in a middle school classroom. *Voices from the Middle*, 8, 15–24.
- Kamil, M. L. (2003). *Adolescents and literacy: Reading for the 21st century*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Keil, W., Schmidt, K.-F., Löwel, S., & Kaschube, M. (2010). How neurons reorganize in growing brains [Blog post]. Retrieved from <http://blogs.physicstoday.org/update/2010/06/how-neurons-reorganize-in-grow.html>
- Kendall, J. S. (2011). *Understanding common core state standards*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Kolln, M., & Hancock, C. (2005). The story of English grammar in United States schools *English Teaching: Practice and Critique*, 4(3), 11–31.
- Kosanovich, M. L., Reed, D. K., & Miller, D. H. (2010). *Bringing literacy strategies into content instruction: Professional learning for secondary-level teachers*. Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, Center on Instruction.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (2010). Making the book talk: Literacy in successful urban classrooms and communities. In K. Dunsmore & D. Fisher (Eds.), *Bringing literacy home* (pp. 226–244). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Laflamme, J. G. (1997). The effect of the Multiple Exposure Vocabulary Method and the Target Reading/Writing Strategy on test scores. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 40(5), 372–381.
- Lamb, J. H. (2010). Reading grade levels and mathematics assessment: An analysis of Texas mathematics assessment items and their reading difficulty. *The Mathematics Educator*, 20(1), 22–34.
- Langer, J. A. (2000). *Guidelines for teaching middle and high school students to read and write well: Six features of effective instruction*. New York: National Research Center on English Learning and Achievement.
- Lee, C. D., & Spratley, A. (2010). *Reading in the disciplines: The challenges of adolescent literacy*. New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Lent, R. C. (2009). *Literacy for real: Reading, thinking, and learning in the content areas*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Levy, S. (2007, November). The future of reading. *Newsweek*. Retrieved from <http://www.newsweek.com/2007/11/17/the-future-of-reading.html>
- Manna, A. L., & Misheff, S. (1987). What teachers say about their own reading development. *Journal of Reading*, 3(2), 160–168.
- Marzano, R. J. (2003) *What works in schools: Translating research into action*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Marzano, R. J. (2010). Summarizing to comprehend. *Educational Leadership*, 67(6), 83–84.
- Marzano, R. J., & Brown, J. L. (2009). *A handbook for the art and science of teaching*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Marzano, R. J., & Pickering, D. J. (2005). *Building academic vocabulary: Teacher's manual*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Marzano, R. J., & Pickering, D. J., with Arredondo, D. E., Blackburn, G. J., Brandt, R. S., Moffett, C. A., Paynter, D. E., & Whisler, J. S. (1997). *Dimensions of Learning* (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Marzano, R. J., Pickering, D. J., & Pollock, J. E. (2001). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

- Mayer, R. (2003) *Learning and instruction*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- McBrien, J. L., & Brandt, R. S. (1997). *The language of learning: A guide to education terms*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- McCombs, B. L. & Barton, M. L. (1998). Motivating secondary students to read their textbooks. *NASSP Bulletin*, 82(600), 24–33.
- McKeown, M. G., & Beck, I. L. (2009). The role of metacognition in reading and comprehension. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Handbook of metacognition in education* (pp. 8–24). New York: Taylor & Francis.
- McNamara, D. S., & Magliano, J. P. (2009). Self-explanation and metacognition: The dynamics of reading. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.). *Handbook of metacognition in education* (pp. 60–81). New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Medina, J. (2008). *Brain rules: 12 principles for surviving and thriving at work, home, and school*. Seattle, WA: Pear Press.
- Meltzer, J. (2001). *Supporting adolescent literacy across the content areas*. Providence, RI: Lab at Brown University.
- Merkley, D. & Jeffries, D. (2000/2001). Guidelines for implementing a graphic organizer. *The Reading Teacher*, 54(4), 350–357.
- Miholic, V. (1994). An inventory to pique students' metacognitive awareness of reading strategies. *Journal of Reading*, 30, 84–86.
- Miller, W. H. (1997). *Reading & writing remediation kit: Ready-to-use strategies and activities to build content reading and writing skills*. West Nyack, NY: The Center for Applied Research in Education.
- Moje, E. B. (2008). Foregrounding the disciplines in secondary literacy teaching and learning: A call for change. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 52(2), 96–107.
- Moore, D. W., Alvermann, D. E., & Hinchman, K. A. (2000). *Struggling adolescent readers: A collection of teaching strategies*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Mortimer, E. F., & Scott, P. H. (2003). *Making meaning in secondary science classrooms*. Berkshire, UK: McGraw-Hill.
- Moss, B. (1991). Children's nonfiction trade books: A complement to content area texts. *The Reading Teacher*, 45, 26–32.
- Moss, B. (2004). Teaching expository text structures through information trade book retellings. *The Reading Teacher*, 57(8), 710–718.
- Murphy, P. K., Wilkinson, I.A.G., Soter, A. O., Hennessey, M. N., & Alexander, J. F. (2009). Examining the effects of classroom discussion on students' comprehension of text: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3), 740–764.
- Murthy, K., & Weber, S. (2011). School of thought in Brockton, Mass. [Television series episode]. In *Need to know on PBS*. Retrieved from <http://www.pbs.org/wnet/need-to-know/uncategorized/brockton-high-proves-that-big-schools-can-be-good-schools/6959>
- Nagy, W., Berninger, V. W., & Abbott, R. D. (2006). Contributions of morphology beyond phonology to literacy outcomes of upper elementary and middle-school students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(1), 134–147.
- National Clearinghouse for English Language Acquisition. (n.d.). Frequently Asked Questions. Retrieved from <http://www.ncela.gwu.edu/faqs>
- National Endowment for the Arts. (2007). *To read or not to read: A question of national consequence*. Retrieved from <http://www.nea.gov/research/toread.pdf>
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices. (2005). *Reading to achieve: A governor's guide to adolescent literacy*. Retrieved from <http://www.nga.org>
- National Institute for Literacy. (2007). *What content-area teachers should know about adolescent literacy*. Washington, DC: Author.
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000). *Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction* (NIH Publication No. 00-4769). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- National Survey of Student Engagement (2008). *Promoting engagement for all students: The imperative to look within*. Bloomington: Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.
- Nix, M. (2011, February 20). Colorado voices: It's old school—and it's the future. *Denver Post*. Retrieved from http://www.denverpost.com/opinion/ci_17417694?source=bb
- Olson, C. B., & Land, R. (2007). A cognitive strategies approach to reading and writing instruction for English language learners in secondary school. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 41(3), 269–303.
- Olson, M. W., & Gee, T. C. (1991). Content reading instruction in the primary grades: Perceptions and strategies. *The Reading Teacher*, 45(4), 298–306.

- Osman, M. E., & Hannafin, M. J. (1992). Metacognition research and theory: Analysis and implications for instructional design. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 40(2), 83–89.
- Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1985). Reciprocal teaching: Activities to promote “reading with your mind.” In T. L. Harris & E. J. Cooper (Eds.), *Reading, thinking, and concept development* (pp. 147–158). New York: College Board Publications.
- Paul, R. (Ed.). (1990). *Critical thinking: What every person needs to survive in a rapidly changing world*. Rohnert Park, CA: Center for Critical Thinking and Moral Critique.
- Perkins, D. (1993, October). Teaching & learning for understanding. *NJEA Review*, 10–17.
- Phan H. P. (2009). Exploring students’ reflective thinking practice, deep processing strategies, effort, and achievement goal orientations. *Educational Psychology*, 29(3), 297–313.
- Pimentel, S. (2007). *Teaching reading well: A synthesis of the international reading association’s research on teacher preparation for reading instruction*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Pink, D. (2009a). Dan Pink on the surprising science of motivation. *TED Global 2009*. Retrieved from http://www.ted.com/talks/dan_pink_on_motivation.html
- Pink, D. (2009b). *Drive: The surprising truth about what motivates us*. New York: Penguin Group.
- Piolat, H., Olive, T., & Kellogg, R. T. (2005). Cognitive effort during note-taking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 19, 291–312.
- Pitcher, S. M., Albright, L. K., DeLaney, C. J., Walker, N. T., Seunarinensingh, K., Mogge, S., . . . Dunston, P. J. (2007). Assessing adolescents’ motivation to read. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 50(5), 378–396.
- Plumb, T. (2010, April 8). New focus on reading, writing. *The Boston Globe*. Retrieved from <http://www.boston.com/news/education>
- Pogrow, S. (1993). The forgotten question in the Chapter I debate: Why are the students having so much trouble learning? *Education Week*, 26, 36.
- Prensky, M. (2001). *Digital natives, digital immigrants*. Retrieved from <http://www.marcprensky.com/writing>
- Pressley, M., Mohan, L., Raphael, L. M., & Fingeret, L. (2007). How does Bennett Woods Elementary School produce such high reading and writing achievement? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(2), 221–240
- Raphael, T. E. (1982). Question-answering strategies for children. *The Reading Teacher*, 36, 186–190.
- Raphael, T. E. (1986). Teaching question-answer relationships, revisited. *The Reading Teacher*, 39, 516–522.
- Raphael, T. E., Kirschner, B. W., & Englert, C. S. (1988). Expository writing program: Making connections between reading and writing. *The Reading Teacher*, 41, 790–795.
- Report of the National Commission on Writing for America’s Families, Schools, and Colleges (2006). *Writing and school reform*. Retrieved from http://www.collegeboard.com/prod_downloads/writingcom/writing-school-reform-natl-comm-writing.pdf
- Richardson, J. S., & Morgan, R. F. (1994). *Reading to learn in the content areas*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Richardson, J. S., Morgan, R. F., & Fleener, C. (2009). *Reading to learn in the content areas* (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Rigsbee, C. (2011, March 16). Reflections of a dance school dropout. *Education Week*. Retrieved from http://www.edweek.org/tm/articles/2011/03/16/tln_rigsbee_dance.html
- Roberts, J. M. (2004). *Effective study skills*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Robinson, F. P. (1970). *Effective study* (4th ed.). New York: Harper & Row.
- Rog, L., & Kropp, P. (2001). *Hooking struggling readers: Using books they can and want to read*. Retrieved from <http://www.readingrockets.org/article/374>
- Roth, M. (2011, March 20). Why does U.S. fail in science education? *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. Retrieved from <http://www.post-gazette.com/pg/11079/1133328-84.stm>
- Santa, C. M. (1988). *Content reading including study systems*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.
- Schmoker, M. (2011). *Focus: Elevating the essentials to radically improve student learning*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Scholastic. (2008). *Scholastic 2008 kids & family reading report: Reading in the 21st century*. Retrieved from <http://www.scholastic.com/aboutscholastic/news/kfrr08web.pdf>
- Scholastic. (2010). *Scholastic 2010 kids & family reading report: Turning the page in the digital age*. Retrieved from www.scholastic.com/readingreport
- Shunk D., & Zimmerman, B. J. (1997). Developing self-efficacious readers and writers: The role of social and self-regulatory processes. In J. T. Guthrie & A. Wigfield (Eds.), *Reading for engagement: Motivating readers through integrated instruction* (pp. 34–50). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Schwartz, R. (1988). Learning to learn vocabulary in content area textbooks. *Journal of Reading*, 32, 108–117.
- Schwartz, R., & Raphael, T. (1985). Concept definition: A key to improving students’ vocabulary. *The Reading Teacher*, 39(2), 198–205.

- SEDL. (n.d.). *Building reading proficiency at the secondary level*. Retrieved from <http://www.sedl.org/pubs/reading16/7.html>
- Shanahan, T. (2010, July 11). *Content area reading versus disciplinary literacy* [Blog post]. Retrieved from <http://www.shanahanonliteracy.com/2010/07/content-area-reading-versus.html>
- Shanahan, T., & Shanahan, C. (2008). Teaching disciplinary literacy to adolescents: Rethinking content-area literacy. *Harvard Educational Review, 78*(1), 40–59.
- Short, E. J., & Ryan, E. B. (1984). Metacognitive differences between skilled and less skilled readers: Remediating deficits through story grammar and attribution training. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 76*, 225–235.
- Smith, J.A.R., & Martin, C. J. (2007). DNA: Modeling structure and function. *Iowa Science Teachers Journal, (34)*1, 14–20.
- Smith, P., & Tompkins, G. (1988). Structured notetaking: A new strategy for content area readers, *Journal of Reading, 32*(1), 46–53.
- Southern Region Education Board (2004). *Raise academic standards and get more students to complete high school: How 13 Georgia schools did it*. Atlanta, GA: Author.
- Speer, N. K., Reynolds, J. R., Swallow, K. M., & Zacks, J. M. (2009). Reading stories activates neural representations of visual and motor experiences. *Psychological Science 20*(8), 989–999.
- Stauffer, R. G. (1969). *Directing reading maturity as a cognitive process*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Stedman, L. C. & Kaestle, C. F. (1991). Literacy and reading performance in the United States from 1880 to the present. In C. F. Kaestle, H. Damon-Moore, L. C. Stedman, K. Tinsley, & W. V. Trolinger (Eds.), *Literacy in the United States: Readers and reading since 1880* (pp. 75–128). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Stone, B., & Urquhart, V. (2008). *Remove limits to learning with systematic vocabulary instruction*. Denver, CO: McREL.
- Strickland, D. S., & Alvermann, D. E., (2004). *Bridging the literacy achievement gap, grades 4–12*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Sturtevant, E., Boyd, F., Brozo, W., Hinchman, K., Alvermann, D., & Moore, D. (2006). *Principled practices for adolescent literacy: A framework for instruction and policy*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Teaching techniques: Reflective discussion method. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://lrs.ed.uiuc.edu/students/m-weeks/refdisc.html>
- Tierney, R. J., & Shanahan, T. (1991). Research on reading-writing relationships: Interactions, transactions, and outcomes. In P. E. Pearson, M. Barr, & P. B. Mosenthal (Eds.). *Handbook of Reading Research, Volume II* (pp. 246–280). New York: Longman.
- Totten, S., Sills, T., Digby, A., & Russ, P. (1991). *Cooperative learning: A guide to research*. New York: Garland.
- Tynjala, P., Mason, L., & Lonka, K. (Eds.). (2001). *Writing as a learning tool: Integrating theory and practice*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization. (n.d.). *United Nations Literacy Decade (2003–2012)*. Retrieved from <http://www.unesco.org/new/en/education/themes/education-building-blocks/literacy/un-literacy-decade>
- Vacca, R. T. (2006). They can because they think they can. *Educational Leadership, 63*(5), 56–59.
- Vacca, R. T., & Vacca, J. L. (1993). *Content area reading* (4th ed.). New York: Harper Collins.
- Vacca, R. T., & Vacca, J. L. (1999). *Content area reading: Literacy and learning across the curriculum* (6th ed.). Menlo Park, CA: Longman.
- Vacca, R. T., & Vacca, J. L. (2005). *Content area reading: Literacy and learning across the curriculum* (8th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- van Nimwegen, C. (2008). *The paradox of the guided user: Assistance can be counter-effective*. SIKS Dissertation Series No. 2008–2009. Enschede, Netherlands: Gildeprint.
- Wang, L., Conner, J. M., Rickert, J., & Tuszynski, M. H. (2011). Structural plasticity within highly specific neuronal populations identifies a unique parcellation of motor learning in the adult brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1014335108
- Willis, J. (2007). Cooperative learning is a brain turn-on. *Middle School Journal (38)*4, 4–13.
- Wolpert-Gawron, H. (2009, April 16). *The importance of a classroom library* [Blog post]. Retrieved from <http://www.edutopia.org/classroom-library-importance>
- Wood, K. (1984). Probable passage: A writing strategy. *The Reading Teacher, 37*, 496–499.
- Wood, K. (1988). Guiding students through informational text. *The Reading Teacher, 41*(9), 912–920.
- Wood, K. D., & Muth, K. D. (1991). The case for improved instruction in the middle grades. *Journal of Reading, 35*(2), 84–90.
- Zwiers, J., & Crawford, M. (2009). How to start academic conversations. *Educational Leadership, 66*(7), 70–73.

About the Authors



Vicki Urquhart

As a communications manager for McREL, Vicki fulfills a variety of roles in writing, editing, and producing research-based publications and new products. An experienced presenter, she conducts workshops around the country. She has more than 20 years of experience as an educator, having taught at the secondary and postsecondary levels.

Vicki coauthored *Teaching Writing in the Content Areas*, two booklets—*Remove Limits to Learning With Systematic Vocabulary Instruction* and *Using Writing in Mathematics to Deepen Student Learning*—and several articles for *Phi Delta Kappan*, *Principal Leadership*, and the *Journal of Staff Development*, among others. In 2007, she served on the planning committee that developed the 2011 NAEP Writing Framework.



Dana Frazee

As a principal consultant, Dana works in several areas of McREL's field services group: *Success in Sight* continuous school improvement, guaranteed and viable curriculum development, school audits, and teaching reading in the content areas. Dana has presented at numerous regional and national workshops on a variety of subjects, including teaching reading in the content areas, data-based decision making, writing

in the content areas, formative assessment, continuous school improvement, effective instructional strategies, and afterschool teaching strategies. She is a coauthor of *Math in Afterschool: An Instructor's Guide to the Afterschool Training Toolkit*. Prior to joining McREL, Dana taught middle school and high school, served as the principal of a K–8 charter school, and worked as an educational consultant for the Coalition of Essential School and Expeditionary Learning Schools.

About McREL

Mid-continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL) is a nationally recognized, nonprofit education research and development organization, headquartered in Denver, Colorado with offices in Honolulu, Hawai`i and Omaha, Nebraska. Since 1966, McREL has helped translate research and professional wisdom about what works in education into practical guidance for educators. Our 120-plus staff members and affiliates include respected researchers, experienced consultants, and published writers who provide educators with research-based guidance, consultation, and professional development for improving student outcomes.